UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/936,721	12/19/2001	Helmut Wassermann	HAMMON-002	1611
	7590 01/15/2008 VID, LITTENBERG,	·	EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ &	& MENTLIK		PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,			3738	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/936,721	WASSERMANN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher D. Prone	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY TO BE STATED AND THE MAILING IDENTIFY TH	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply I d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 l	November 2007.				
/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	I, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 29 and 67-106 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 29,67,68,70-74,81,91,92 and 94-97	awn from consideration. is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) <u>69-71,75-80,82-90,93 and 98-106</u> is 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or cicolon requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptance and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the specific process.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	ccepted or b) objected to by to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appl iority documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/5/07.	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 3738

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/05/07 has been entered.

### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 29, 67, 68, 70, 71, 73, 74, 81, 91, 92, 94, 95, 96, and 97 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 38, 39, 50, 124, 127, and 137 of U.S. Patent No. 7,131,996. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the equation in independent claim 29 of the current application is so broad that it encompasses any generic shape, which is included by application 10/101,652.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 29, 68, 72-74, 81, 92, 95, and 97, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 4,044,401 Guiset.

Guiset discloses the same invention comprising a first section with an outlet sphincter 4 and a pressure valve 22 means for controlling it, a middle second section that is smaller in cross-section to an upper section comprising an inlet 3, and a pump 23.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 69-71, 75-80, 82-90, 93, 98-106 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/936,721

Art Unit: 3738

Applicant's arguments with respect to all claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

W CDP Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TFCHNOLOGY CENTER 3700